Animal Protection of New Mexico Animal Protection Voters





# "Letting the Past Inform the Future for Conservation Funding"

APNM/APV History: Summary

For 7 years (1999-2005): Concentrated effort to establish a NM conservation funding mechanism.

What prompted this? Familiar refrain from NMDGF: no funds to do proper cougar conservation research.



# APNM/APV History: Summary



#### NM Wildlife Alliance

#### Mission:

Strengthen and support the laws, institutions and practices necessary for the sound stewardship and management of all the state's wildlife.

#### Goals:

 Establish a reliable funding mechanism for wildlife conservation in New Mexico.
Broaden the mandate of NM Game Commission and NMDGF to include protection and management of all native wildlife.

# APNM/APV History: Summary

### <u>Created/Implemented Phased Plan.</u> <u>Phase 5 remained elusive.</u>

**Phase 1**: Comprehensive research on other states. Identified alternative funding sources for wildlife conservation funding.

**Phase 2: Organized broad coalition** to educate public about wildlife, conservation, and habitat preservation. NMDGF enthusiastic (Director Thompson, and some game commissioners).



Phase 3: Determined most viable (lucrative, supportable) funding option(s) for New Mexico. Conducted public education. Conducted public opinion poll to determine acceptable options.

**Phase 4:** Analyzed impact of wildlife recreation activities on state's economy.

**Phase 5:** Establish wildlife diversity funding.



### <u>2003</u>

• HB 943 (Coll): Personal Income Tax Surtax for Wildlife Act: Died in House Vote: 25-38

(wildlife conservation surtax of \$2 for each exemption on NM individual income tax return, with maximum of \$12 per return)

• SB 581 (Romero): Land Conservation Incentives Act: Signed into law by GBR (providing tax credits for the donation of land or interest in land)



### <u>2004</u>

• HJM 37 (Coll): Funding Sources for Landscape Protection: Passed (no Gov. sig required) (requesting NMDGF/EMNRD study sustainable alternative funding measures to protect NM's unique landscapes, open spaces, recreation areas, wildlife habitats)



#### 2005 (Biggest outcome)

• Significant work on "big conservation funding bill" but GBR wanted HB 885 instead

HB 885 (Sandoval): Severance Tax Bond Projects: Signed into law by GBR
(\$1M to purchase land/conservation easements to comply with federal ESA, and \$4M to purchase
property with unique ecological value and acquire conservation easements in forested areas to reduce
wildlife risk, protect open space, and improve wildlife protection areas statewide)



### <u>2006</u>

• HB 188 (Martinez)/SB407 (Sanchez): Land, Wildlife, Clean Energy Act: Died in HTRC (funding was "stripped" by HBIC committee sub)/Passed Senate 19-18, but with oil & gas conservation funding provision removed, establishing program with no money

(providing for distribution of proceeds from the oil and gas conservation tax; creating a board; creating funds; authorizing the issuance of bonds; making an appropriation)



### 2007 and beyond

Advocates "ran out of gas" on issue.

#### <u>Now</u>

Inspired and informed energy is encouraging!

### APNM/APV Lessons

### □ Fully understand the universe in which you're operating.

- $\circ$  Where are key political leaders on initiative?
- Timing of initiative?
- Who else is seeking funding mechanisms? Which mechanisms for which issues?
- $\odot$  Tie initiative to economic wellness because of NM poverty.



Fully evaluate funding mechanisms. Listen hard to input you don't want to hear.

- Where's the "sweet spot" (balancing stakeholders/fund recipients needed to succeed (wildlife, land, water, parks, open space, etc.) vs. how much funding wildlife need.
- $\circ$  Poll the public, test messaging, pay attention to results.
- Study/learn as much as possible from others states' successes/failures:

<u>http://seas.umich.edu/ecomgt/pubs/documents/SummaryOfFin</u> <u>dings.pdf</u>

(School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan)

# APNM/APV Lessons, cont'd.



### □ What will agencies commit to?

- Consider whether funding mechanism can be/should be coupled with broader wildlife oversight mandates for NM Game & Fish.
- Investment/full partnership of NM Game & Fish—and other recipient agencies like State Parks—is essential for success.
- Budgeting and accountability for spending new funds matters to the public.

### Elections matter.

- $\odot$  Who will be NM's next Governor?
- $\odot$  Who will be NM's next Land Commissioner?

Animal Protection of New Mexico Animal Protection Voters





lisa@apnm.org

lisa@apvnm.org